

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/619,231 | 07/14/2003 | Wilbur H. Crawley | 60,130-1786/03MRA0269 | 5823 | |
| 26096 | 7590 02/17/2005 | 02/17/2005 EXAMINER | | | |
| CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 | | | PHAM, MINH | PHAM, MINH CHAU THI | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1724 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | f |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 10/619,231 | CRAWLEY, WILBUR H. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| The MAN INC DATE And | Minh-Chau T. Pham | 1724 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | · · |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | ; , |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished and accomplished and accomplished and accomplished to the second accomplished and accomplished and accomplished acco | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | |

Application/Control Number: 10/619,231

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 6-8, 11 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg (6,641,646 B2), in view of Kar et al (5,006,265).

Rosenberg discloses a method for cleaning a particulate filter (10) including the steps of flowing a fluid into an outlet (14) of a particulate filter (10), dislodging ash from the filter with fluid and carrying the ash in the fluid through an inlet (15) of the filter (see col. 3, lines 50-56). Claims 1, 6-8, 11 and 18-20 differ from the disclosure of Rosenberg in that the method of cleaning including liquid as a fluid. Kar et al disclose a method of cleaning a filter by backflushing which includes a fluid such as a liquid to remove solids that accumulate on flushable filters (see col. 1, lines 6-8 and lines 14-21). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a fluid as liquid as taught by Kar et al to the filter outlet to back flush the filter of Rosenberg to provide a novel filter cleaning device which is capable to thoroughly and efficiently cleaning filters.

Claims 2-5, 9, 10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg (6,641,646 B2), in view of Kar et al (5,006,265), and further in view of Davis et al (6,251,294 B1).

Claims 2-5, 9, 10 and 12-17 call for the step of sending acoustic waves such as ultrasonic waves through the fluid to assist in dislodging ash of the filter. Davis et al disclose a self generating filter unit wherein an ultrasonic transducer (36) periodically

Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

energizable to dislodge caked solids from a filter element housing (see col. 3, line 58 through col. 4, line 7 and col. 5, lines 18-23). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an acoustic waves such as ultrasonic waves as taught by Davis et al in the filter apparatus of Rosenberg and Kar et al since the ultrasonic vibration would ultrasonically vibrate or cavitate the liquid surrounding the filter element and thus dislodge solid caked on the outside of the filter and effectively clean the filter element.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on January 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Rosenberg reference does not disclose "a fluid such as a liquid is flowed slowly and steadily through the filter, and while acoustic waves assist in dislodging the ash from the long passages and the thin walls, the fluid flowing through the filter carries the ash out of the filter". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 1 broadly calls for a method of cleaning a diesel particulate filter by flowing a <u>fluid</u> into the outlet of a filter, dislodging ash from the filter with the <u>fluid</u>, and carrying the ash in the <u>fluid</u> through an inlet of the filter. The word "fluid" is broadly claimed and is not limited to only water but also air. Therefore, Rosenberg's disclosure of air pulses for dislodging ash for a filter read on the language of the claims. In order to show that the fluid can be either air or liquid, the Examiner newly introduces Kar et al as the secondary reference in combination with the primary reference Rosenberg to show that this "backflushing is a common technique used to removes solids that accumulate on flushable filters wherein

Art Unit: 1724

in the backflushing step, a fluid, such as air, steam, or a liquid, either with or without surfactants, is passed through the filter media in the reverse direction to carry the solids away from the filter" (see col. 1, lines 15-21), as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been thoroughly considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Due to this new ground of rejection, the finality is withdrawn and the prosecution is reopened for this instant patent application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 17/24

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1724

February 10, 2005